

Non-Metallic Human Vagus Nerve Stimulator Mengqiao Li, Sijian Yan, MEng '16 Advisor: Dr. Bruce Land, Dr. Adam Anderson School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University

Overview

The vagus (or "wandering") nerve is cranial nerve 10, connecting the brain with vital organs (heart, lungs, gut).

- Vary heart rate
- Control food digestion
- Relieve stress
- Improve memory

Existing highly invasive (implants between carotid and jugular) vagus stimulators has been



approved by FDA for a variety of conditions, including Major Depression. But Human ecology scientists at Cornell are looking for a non-invasive device utilizing the fact that the vagus receives input from touch receptors around the ear.

Goals of this project:

- Build an around-the-ear device that is capable of generating varying vibratory frequencies
- Discover the vibrat frequency of around-the-ear vagus nerve stimulation that has the most significant influence to human heart rate
- Figure out a way to make the device MRI compatible non-metallic

Approach





Tragus and Cavity of concha both contain 45% of vagus nerve receptors. Antihelix contains 75% and all of the receptors in **Cymba Conchac** are vagus nerve receptor.





Design and Implementation



Experimental Results



The figures above show the heart beat (from single subject) versus time. Each X-axis represents three minutes and the plots from top to bottom are measured under 10Hz, 30Hz, and 60Hz stimulation respectively.

• Interval Distribution Analysis



These three figures represent the heart beat interval distribution under different stimulation frequencies. Normally the wider the peak is, the more variable the heart rate is considered to be.

Evaluation

Greater standard deviation of beat-to-beat intervals indicates a more varying heart rate. Here we can see that heart rate varies the most when stimulating right ear with 60Hz frequency.



		sum_left sum_left sum_righ sum_righ sum_righ								
	Ρ									
DF	0.995	0.975	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.0			
1	0.0000393	0.000982	1.642	2.706	3.841	5.024	5.41			
2	0.0100	0.0506	3.219	4.605	5.991	7.378	7.82			
225	174.116	185.348	242.631	252.578	260.992	268.438	270.6			

Conclusion

Our device has achieved fundamental functions. Statistical analysis of experimental results using this stimulator doesn't indicate a more varying heart rate under vagus nerve stimulating circumstances so more further evaluations are needed. It could because the number of testers is too small to be analyze statistically.

Further work will be to make a better shaped, more compatible end of the device, as well as test multiple subjects. Furthermore, improvement could be made to meet the need of MRI compatibility since the potential application in medial still exists.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our advisor Dr. Bruce Land for his advice, encouragement, and continued support of this project. We also need to thank to Dr. Adam Anderson and his PhD student Ross Markello for their external help with using medical equipment.

References

[1] "Course and distribution of the glossopharyngeal, vagus, and accessory nerves." Quote from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vagus_nerve [2] "Vagus nerve stimulation", MAYO CLINIC. Quote from: http://www.mayoclinic.org/testsprocedures/vagus-nerve-stimulation/home/ovc-20167755

[3] "Complete Pan Tompkins Implementation ECG QRS Detector", MathWorks. Quote from: http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/45840-complete-pan-tompkins-implementationecg-qrs-detector/content/pan_tompkin.m

[4] "Values of the Chi-squared distribution", MadCalc, Quote from: https://www.medcalc.org/manual/chisquare-table.php



Ulcaler standard deviation of							standard deviation of beat to beat intervals					
be	beat-to-beat intervals indicates a											~
ma	more varying heart rate. Here we						0.20					
car	can see that heart rate varies the						0.15	/	8	-8-	non-stimula	ition
most when stimulating right ear							은 - ·	20	30	40	right ear	60
with 60Hz frequency.									frequency	(Hz)		
In order to evaluate the correlations of stimulating left ear V.S. still										till		
and stimulation right ear V.S. still, we used Chi-squared to determine										č		
whether there is a significant difference between the expected												
frequencies and the observed frequencies in our experiments.												
Values of the Chi-squared distribution						H sun	n_left10		1.2866e+03			
						sum_left30			594.2301			
	\frown					📩 sun	sum_left60 749.0761					
						sun sun	sum_right10			1.2604e+03		
						🔲 sun	$sum_{right60} = 11400e\pm03$					
	<u>I</u>		χ ²	_		<u> </u>	n_ngntoo		1.14000	2+05		
	Р											
DF	0.995	0.975	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.02	0.01	0.005	0.002	0.001	
1	0.0000393	0.000982	1.642	2.706	3.841	5.024	5.412	0.035	10 507	9.550	10.82	8
2	0.0100	0.0506	3.219	4.005	5.991	1.378	1.824	9.210	10.597	12.429	13.01	0
225	174 116	185 348	242 631	252 578	260 992	268 438	270 681	277 269	283 390	290 925	296.28	38
226	174.995	186.256	243.671	253.638	262.070	269.530	271.777	278.379	284.511	292.061	297.43	33
227	175.874	187.164	244.711	254.699	263.147	270.622	272.874	279.488	285.632	293.196	298.57	79
Here the degree of freedom is 226 since the number of sample is												
227. All of the numbers exceed the standard value in the table above.												
Therefore, it is not convincing to conclude any relationship based on												
Chi-Square calculation. Further test is needed.												