

ECE 5630 - Solutions Homework Assignment 4

1) a) Using the fact that conditioning cannot increase entropy, we get that

$$H(X_n|X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \leq H(X_n|X_{n-i+1}, \dots, X_{n-1}) = H(X_i|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}),$$

where the last equality follows from the stationarity property of the sequence $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

b) From part (a), it follows that

$$H(X_n|X^{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H(X_i|X^{i-1}) = \frac{1}{n-1} H(X^{n-1}),$$

where the last equality follows from the chain rule. Then,

$$H(X_n) = H(X^{n-1}) + H(X_n|X^{n-1}) \leq \frac{n}{n-1} H(X^{n-1}).$$

c) Using the chain rule and part (a), we get

$$H(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i|X^{i-1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_n|X^{n-1}) = nH(X_n|X^{n-1}).$$

2) We have

$$H_{256}(P) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_{256} p(x) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x) \log_{256}(2) = \frac{1}{8} H_2(X).$$

3) a) We have that $H(X_1) = H(X_2)$ as X_1 and X_2 are identically distributed. Then,

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{H(X_2|X_1)}{H(X_1)} = \frac{H(X_1) - H(X_2|X_1)}{H(X_1)} = \frac{H(X_2) - H(X_2|X_1)}{H(X_1)} = \frac{I(X_1; X_2)}{H(X_1)}.$$

b) From the non-negativity of Shannon entropy, it follows that $\rho \leq 1$. Moreover, using the fact that conditioning cannot increase entropy, we get $H(X_2|X_1) \leq H(X_2) = H(X_1)$. Then,

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{H(X_2|X_1)}{H(X_1)} \geq 1 - \frac{H(X_1)}{H(X_1)} = 0.$$

c) If $H(X_2|X_1) = 0$, then $\rho = 0$. That is, a sufficient condition for $\rho = 1$ is that $X_2 = f(X_1)$ for some deterministic function f .

4) a) Using the independence of X and Q , we get

$$I(X; Q, A) = I(X; Q) + I(X; A|Q) = I(X; A|Q).$$

Using the fact that $A = a(X, Q)$, we get $H(A|X, Q) = 0$. Thus,

$$I(X; A|Q) = H(A|Q) - H(A|X, Q) = H(A|Q).$$

b) We have

$$I(X; Q_1, A_1, Q_2, A_2) = I(X; Q_1, A_1) + I(X; Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1).$$

In order to prove that $I(X; Q_1, A_1, Q_2, A_2) \leq 2I(X; Q_1, A_1)$, it suffices to show that $I(X; Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1) \leq I(X; Q_2, A_2)$. We have

$$I(X; Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1) = H(Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1) - H(Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1, X).$$

Using the fact that conditioning cannot increase entropy, we have $H(Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1) \leq H(Q_2, A_2)$. Moreover, using the fact that (Q_1, A_1) and (Q_2, A_2) are conditionally independent given X , we have $H(Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1, X) = H(Q_2, A_2 | X)$. Then,

$$I(X; Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1) \leq H(Q_2, A_2) - H(Q_2, A_2 | X) = I(X; Q_2, A_2) = I(X; Q_1, A_1).$$

5) See Theorem 1 from notes of Lecture 13.

6) a) We only prove the upper bound as the lower bound follows from a similar argument. For all $x^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(n)}(Q)$, we have

$$P^{\otimes n}(\{x^n\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} p(a)^{n\nu_{x^n}(a)} \leq \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} p(a)^{n(1-\epsilon)q(a)},$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of letter typical sets. Then,

$$P^{\otimes n}(\{x^n\}) = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} p(a)^{n(1-\epsilon)q(a)} = 2^{n(1-\epsilon) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} q(a) \log(p(a))}.$$

Note that $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} q(a) \log(p(a)) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} q(a) \log(p(a)/q(a)) - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} q(a) \log(q(a)) = -D_{\text{KL}}(P \| Q) - H(Q)$. Then,

$$P^{\otimes n}(\{x^n\}) = 2^{-n(1-\epsilon)(D_{\text{KL}}(P \| Q) + H(Q))}.$$

Thus, using the union bound, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\otimes n}(\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(n)}(Q)) &\leq |\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{(n)}(Q)| 2^{-n(1-\epsilon)(D_{\text{KL}}(P \| Q) + H(Q))} \\ &\leq 2^{n(1+\epsilon)H(Q) - n(1-\epsilon)(D_{\text{KL}}(P \| Q) + H(Q))} \\ &= 2^{-n(D_{\text{KL}}(P \| Q) + \epsilon \sum_{a \in \mathcal{X}} q(a) \log(p(a)q(a)))}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Q \ll P$, we have $\mu_P, \mu_Q > 0$ where $\mu_Q = \min_{a \in \text{supp}(Q)} q(a)$ and $\mu_P = \min_{a \in \text{supp}(Q)} p(a)$. Thus, by defining $\delta(\epsilon)$, we get the desired upper bound.

$$\delta(\epsilon) = -\epsilon \log(\mu_P \mu_Q).$$

b) Let $Q = P_{XY}$ and $P = P_X \otimes P_Y$. Clearly, $Q \ll P$. Thus, the desired bounds follow from part (a) for

$$\tilde{\delta}(\epsilon) = -\epsilon \log(\mu_{XY} \mu_X \mu_Y),$$

where $\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_{XY} > 0$ are defined as $\mu_X = \min_{a \in \text{supp}(P_X)} p_X(a)$, $\mu_Y = \min_{a \in \text{supp}(P_Y)} p_Y(a)$, and $\mu_{XY} =$

$$\min_{a \in \text{supp}(P_{XY})} P_{XY}(a).$$

7) Using the total probability theorem, we have

$$P^{(c_n)}(y^n|x^n) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} P_M(m) P^{(c_n)}(y^n|m, x^n) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} P_M(m) \prod_{i=1}^n P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^n, y^{i-1}).$$

We have

$$P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^n, y^{i-1}) = \frac{P^{(c_n)}(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n|m, x^i, y^i) P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^i, y^{i-1})}{P^{(c_n)}(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n|m, x^i, y^{i-1})} = P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^i, y^{i-1}).$$

where the equality follows from the fact that the channel is without feedback. Then, using the fact that the channel is memoryless, we get

$$P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^n, y^{i-1}) = P^{(c_n)}(y_i|m, x^i, y^{i-1}) = P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i).$$

Thus,

$$P^{(c_n)}(y^n|x^n) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} P_M(m) \prod_{i=1}^n P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\prod_{i=1}^n P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i)$ does not depend on m and that $\sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} P_M(m) = 1$.

8) We have $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow E$. Then, $I(X; E|Y) = 0$ and $I(X; Y) = I(X; Y, E)$. Moreover,

$$I(X; Y, E) = I(X; E) + I(X; Y|E) = I(X; Y|E),$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that $p_{E|X}(1|x) = p_E(1) = \alpha$ for $x = 0, 1$. Then,

$$I(X; Y|E) = \alpha I(X; Y|E = 1) + (1 - \alpha) I(X; Y|E = 0) = (1 - \alpha) I(X; Y|E = 0) = (1 - \alpha) H(X).$$

Thus,

$$\max_{P_X} I(X; Y) = \max_{P_X} (1 - \alpha) H(P_X) = 1 - \alpha,$$

where the maximum is achieved by $p_X = \text{Unif}(\mathcal{X})$.

9) We have that

$$p_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & y = x, y = \text{con}(x), x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{in}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $H(P_{Y|X}(\cdot|X = x)) = 1$ and $H(Y|X) = \mathbb{E}[H(P_{Y|X}(\cdot|X))] = 1$ for all P_X . Thus,

$$\max_{P_X} I(X; Y) = \max_{P_X} (H(Y) - H(Y|X)) = \max_{P_X} H(Y) - 1.$$

To find the capacity, we need to find P_X that maximizes $H(Y)$. From the fact that uniform distribution maximizes Shannon entropy, we choose a P_X that induces uniform distribution on Y . If $X \sim \text{Unif}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{in}})$, then for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{out}}$, we

have

$$p_Y(y) = p_X(y)p_{Y|X}(y|y) + p_X(\text{con}^{-1}(y))p_{Y|X}(y|\text{con}^{-1}(y)) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}_{\text{in}}|} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}_{\text{in}}|} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{26}.$$

That is, if $X \sim \text{Unif}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{in}})$, then $Y \sim \text{Unif}(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{out}})$. Thus, the channel capacity is $\max_{P_X} I(X; Y) = \log(26) - 1$ achieved when the input distribution is uniform.