# Gaussian-Smoothed Optimal Transport: Metric Structure and Statistical Efficiency

Ziv Goldfeld

Cornell University

Colloquium, Center of Applied Mathematics, Cornell University

November 1st, 2019

- Generative adversarial networks (GANs)
- Optimal transport (OT) and Wasserstein metric
- Entropic optimal transport
- Gaussian-smoothed optimal transport



• Which face is fake?



• Which face is fake?

• Unsupervised Learning:

• Which face is fake?

• Unsupervised Learning:

**Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$ 

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - **Examples:** Generative models, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - Examples: Generative models, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - **Examples: Generative models**, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.

• GANs [Goodfellow et al'14]:

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X \text{ samples (no labels!)}$
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - Examples: Generative models, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.

- GANs [Goodfellow et al'14]:
  - Sample-to-sample

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  ${X_i}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X$  samples (no labels!)
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - Examples: Generative models, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.

Data

- GANs [Goodfellow et al'14]:
  - Sample-to-sample



Generated

- Which face is fake?
- Unsupervised Learning:
  - **Data:**  ${X_i}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P_X$  samples (no labels!)
  - **Goal:** Learn underlying structure of data, e.g.,  $Q_X \approx P_X$
  - **Examples: Generative models**, clustering, dim. reduction, etc.



Artwork, coloring, super-resolution, simulations, etc.

















<u>Discriminator</u>: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 



**<u>Discriminator</u>**: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different



**<u>Discriminator</u>**: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

⇒ Minimax Game:



**<u>Discriminator</u>**: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

 $\implies$  Minimax Game:  $\mathbb{E}d_{\varphi}(X)$ 



<u>Discriminator</u>: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

 $\implies$  Minimax Game:  $\mathbb{E}d_{\varphi}(X) - \mathbb{E}d_{\varphi}(g_{\theta}(Z))$ 



<u>Discriminator</u>: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Minimax Game:

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

 $\sup_{\varphi} \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(X) - \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi} \big( g_{\theta}(Z) \big)$ 



<u>Discriminator</u>: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{\varphi}(X)$  and  $d_{\varphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

 $\implies \mathsf{Minimax Game:} \quad \inf_{\theta} \sup_{\varphi} \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(X) - \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(g_{\theta}(Z))$ 



**<u>Discriminator</u>**: DNN  $d_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

• Discriminate:  $d_{arphi}(X)$  and  $d_{arphi}(X_g)$  are maximally different

 $\implies$  Minimax Game:

$$\inf_{\theta} \sup_{\varphi} \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(X) - \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(g_{\theta}(Z))$$

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

•  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$ 

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$
- $\delta:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to [0,+\infty)$  is a divergence if

$$\delta(P,Q)=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad P=Q$$

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$
- $\delta:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to [0,+\infty)$  is a divergence if

 $\delta(P,Q)=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad P=Q$ 

• If symmetric &  $\delta(P,Q) \leq \delta(P,R) + \delta(R,Q)$  then  $\delta$  is a <u>metric</u>!

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$
- $\delta:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to [0,+\infty)$  is a divergence if

 $\delta(P,Q)=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad P=Q$ 

• If symmetric &  $\delta(P,Q) \leq \delta(P,R) + \delta(R,Q)$  then  $\delta$  is a <u>metric</u>!

**Back to Generative Modeling:** Pick  $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and train generator to

 $\inf_{\theta} \delta\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\right)$ 

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$
- $\delta:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to [0,+\infty)$  is a divergence if

 $\delta(P,Q)=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad P=Q$ 

• If symmetric &  $\delta(P,Q) \leq \delta(P,R) + \delta(R,Q)$  then  $\delta$  is a <u>metric</u>!

**Back to Generative Modeling:** Pick  $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and train generator to

 $\inf_{\theta} \delta\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\right)$ 

 $oldsymbol{st}$  Both perspectives coincide if  $\delta(\cdot,\cdot)$  is the 1-Wasserstein metric

Statistical Divergences: Measure 'distance' between prob. distributions

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \text{Set of probability distributions on } \mathbb{R}^d \}$
- $\delta:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to [0,+\infty)$  is a divergence if

 $\delta(P,Q)=0\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad P=Q$ 

• If symmetric &  $\delta(P,Q) \leq \delta(P,R) + \delta(R,Q)$  then  $\delta$  is a <u>metric</u>!

**Back to Generative Modeling:** Pick  $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$  and train generator to

 $\inf_{\theta} \delta\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\right)$ 

st Both perspectives coincide if  $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the 1-Wasserstein metric

B Wasserstein GAN achieves SOTA performance [Arjovsky et al'17]

#### **Optimal Transport: The 1-Wasserstein Metric**

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

#### **Optimal Transport: The 1-Wasserstein Metric**

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

• Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$ 


**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

• Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$ 

• Cost: c(x,y) = ||x - y|| for transporting x to y

Setup:  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

- Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$
- **Cost:** c(x, y) = ||x y|| for transporting x to y

#### **Definition** (1-Wasserstein)

The 1-Wasserstein metric: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

- Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$
- **Cost:** c(x, y) = ||x y|| for transporting x to y

#### Definition (1-Wasserstein)

The 1-Wasserstein metric: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

#### Comments:

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

- Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$
- **Cost:** c(x, y) = ||x y|| for transporting x to y

#### Definition (1-Wasserstein)

The 1-Wasserstein metric: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X-Y|$$

### Comments:

• Operational Meaning: Minimize work of transporting P to Q

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

- Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$
- **Cost:** c(x,y) = ||x y|| for transporting x to y

#### **Definition** (1-Wasserstein)

The 1-Wasserstein metric: 
$$\mathsf{W}_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \| X - Y \|$$

### Comments:

- Operational Meaning: Minimize work of transporting P to Q
- Robustness to Supp. Mismatch:  $W_1(P,Q) < \infty$ ,  $\forall P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

**Setup:**  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (subscript for finite 1st moment)

- Couplings:  $\Pi(P,Q) = \left\{ \pi_{X,Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \pi_X = P \& \pi_Y = Q \right\}$
- **Cost:** c(x, y) = ||x y|| for transporting x to y

#### **Definition (1-Wasserstein)**

The 1-Wasserstein metric: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X-Y|$$

### Comments:

- Operational Meaning: Minimize work of transporting P to Q
- Robustness to Supp. Mismatch:  $W_1(P,Q) < \infty$ ,  $\forall P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Metric:  $(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathsf{W}_1)$  is metric space (metrizes weak\* convergence)

**1-Wasserstein:** 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

**1-Wasserstein:** 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

**1-Wasserstein:** 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

$$\mathsf{W}_1(P,Q) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \le 1} \mathbb{E}_P f(X) - \mathbb{E}_Q f(Y)$$

**1-Wasserstein:** 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation





**1-Wasserstein**: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

$$\mathsf{W}_1(\boldsymbol{P}, Q) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{P}} f(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathbb{E}_Q f(Y)$$

• 
$$P = P_X$$
 (X (real) data sample)



**1-Wasserstein:** 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

$$\mathsf{W}_1(\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{P}} f(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{Y})$$

• 
$$P = P_X$$
 (X (real) data sample)  
•  $Q = Q_{X_q}^{( heta)}$  (Y =  $g_{ heta}(Z)$  gen. sample)



**1-Wasserstein**: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

$$\mathsf{W}_1(\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q}) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{P}} f(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{Y})$$

• 
$$P = P_X (X \text{ (real) data sample})$$

• 
$$Q = Q_{X_g}^{(b)}$$
  $(Y = g_{ heta}(Z)$  gen. sample

• 
$$f=d_{arphi}$$
 (w/ 1-Lip constraint)



**1-Wasserstein**: 
$$W_1(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} ||X - Y||$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality: Equivalent representation

$$\mathsf{W}_1(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{Q}) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{P}} f(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{Y})$$

Back to GANs:

• 
$$P = P_X$$
 (X (real) data sample)

• 
$$Q = Q_{X_g}^{( heta)}$$
  $(Y = g_ heta(Z)$  gen. sample)

• 
$$f = d_{arphi}$$
 (w/ 1-Lip constraint)

 $\implies$  Frameworks Coincide:

$$\inf_{\theta} \mathsf{W}_1\Big(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\Big) \cong \inf_{\theta} \sup_{\varphi: \|d_{\varphi}\|_{\mathsf{Lip}} \leq 1} \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(X) - \mathbb{E} d_{\varphi}(g_{\theta}(Z))$$



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}), X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ ,  $X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**Empirical Approximation:** In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}), X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

Empirical Approximation: In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

•  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}), X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**Empirical Approximation:** In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ ,  $X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

Empirical Approximation: In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$

 $\implies$  Inherently we work with  $W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ 



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ ,  $X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**Empirical Approximation:** In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$

 $\implies$  Inherently we work with  $W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ 

 $\left[\mathsf{W}_1\!\left(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\right) \approx \mathsf{W}_1\!\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}\right) \text{ hopefully}...\right]$ 



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}), X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**Empirical Approximation:** In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$

 $\implies$  Inherently we work with  $W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ 

$$\left[\mathsf{W}_1\left(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{( heta)}
ight) pprox \mathsf{W}_1\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{( heta)}
ight)$$
 hopefully...]

#### Theorem (Dudley'69)

For all  $d \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \left| W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q) - W_1(P, Q) \right| \asymp n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$ 



**<u>Goal</u>:** Find gen.  $g_{\theta}$  to minimize  $W_1(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ ,  $X_d^{(\theta)} \triangleq g_{\theta}(Z) \sim Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)}$ .

**Empirical Approximation:** In reality we don't have  $P_X$  but data

- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- Empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$

 $\implies$  Inherently we work with  $W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{(\theta)})$ 

 $\left[\mathsf{W}_1\left(\hat{P}_n, Q_{X_d}^{( heta)}
ight) pprox \mathsf{W}_1\left(P_X, Q_{X_d}^{( heta)}
ight)$  hopefully...]

#### Theorem (Dudley'69)

For all 
$$d \geq 3$$
,  $\mathbb{E} \left| W_1(\hat{P}_n, Q) - W_1(P, Q) \right| \asymp n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$ 



### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} \| P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} \| P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

#### The Good:

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} \| P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Good:

• Regularizer favors weakly-dependent coupling

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Good:

- Regularizer favors weakly-dependent coupling
- $\mathsf{S}_c^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q)$  is strongly convex optimization problem

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Good:

- Regularizer favors weakly-dependent coupling
- $\mathsf{S}_c^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q)$  is strongly convex optimization problem
- Two-sample statistical efficiency (for certain costs):

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Good:

- Regularizer favors weakly-dependent coupling
- $\mathsf{S}_c^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q)$  is strongly convex optimization problem
- Two-sample statistical efficiency (for certain costs):

#### Theorem (Genevay et al'19)

For  $C^{\infty}$  and L-Lipschitz cost c, and any  $d \ge 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left| S_c^{(\epsilon)}(\hat{P}_n, \hat{Q}_n) - S_c^{(\epsilon)}(P, Q) \right| \lesssim e^{\frac{c}{\epsilon}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}} \right) n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

**Entropic Optimal Transport:** For  $\epsilon > 0$  and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

The Bad (Specializing to Distance Cost):

**Entropic Optimal Transport:** For  $\epsilon > 0$  and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} \| P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Bad (Specializing to Distance Cost):

• c(x,y) = ||x - y|| does not fall under the theorem's framework

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Bad (Specializing to Distance Cost):

• c(x, y) = ||x - y|| does not fall under the theorem's framework •  $S_1^{(\epsilon)}$  is <u>not</u> a metric on  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (nor Sinkhorn loss)

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Bad (Specializing to Distance Cost):

- c(x, y) = ||x − y|| does not fall under the theorem's framework
  S<sub>1</sub><sup>(ϵ)</sup> is <u>not</u> a metric on P<sub>1</sub>(ℝ<sup>d</sup>) for any ϵ > 0 (nor Sinkhorn loss)
- Dual form includes two potentials and regularizer:

$$S_1^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) = \sup_{u,v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{E}_{P \otimes Q} \left[ u(X) + v(Y) - \epsilon e^{\frac{u(X) + v(Y) - \|X - Y\|}{\epsilon}} \right] + \epsilon$$

### **Entropic Optimal Transport:** For $\epsilon > 0$ and cost c(x, y):

$$\mathsf{S}_{c}^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) \triangleq \inf_{\pi_{X,Y} \in \Pi(P,Q)} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}c(X,Y) + \epsilon I_{\pi}(X;Y)$$

where  $I_{\pi}(X;Y) \triangleq \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\pi_{X,Y} || P \otimes Q)$  is the mutual information

### The Bad (Specializing to Distance Cost):

- c(x,y) = ||x − y|| does not fall under the theorem's framework
  S<sub>1</sub><sup>(ε)</sup> is <u>not</u> a metric on P<sub>1</sub>(ℝ<sup>d</sup>) for any ε > 0 (nor Sinkhorn loss)
- Dual form includes two potentials and regularizer:

$$S_1^{(\epsilon)}(P,Q) = \sup_{u,v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{E}_{P \otimes Q} \left[ u(X) + v(Y) - \epsilon e^{\frac{u(X) + v(Y) - \|X - Y\|}{\epsilon}} \right] + \epsilon$$

 $\implies$  No direct correspondence to minimax GAN formulation

# **Gaussian-Smoothed Optimal Transport**

### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

# **Gaussian-Smoothed Optimal Transport**

### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

Interpretation:  $X \sim P$ ,  $Y \sim Q$  and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

# **Gaussian-Smoothed Optimal Transport**

### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

**Interpretation:**  $X \sim P$ ,  $Y \sim Q$  and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} X \perp Z_1 & \Longrightarrow & X + Z_1 \sim P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \\ Y \perp Z_2 & \Longrightarrow & Y + Z_2 \sim Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$
#### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

Interpretation:  $X \sim P$ ,  $Y \sim Q$  and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$   $X \perp Z_1 \implies X + Z_1 \sim P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$  $Y \perp Z_2 \implies Y + Z_2 \sim Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

 $\implies$  W<sub>1</sub> distance between smoothed distributions

### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

Interpretation: 
$$X \sim P, Y \sim Q$$
 and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$   
 $X \perp Z_1 \implies X + Z_1 \sim P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$   
 $Y \perp Z_2 \implies Y + Z_2 \sim Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

 $\implies$  W<sub>1</sub> distance between smoothed distributions



#### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

Interpretation:  $X \sim P$ ,  $Y \sim Q$  and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$   $X \perp Z_1 \implies X + Z_1 \sim P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$  $Y \perp Z_2 \implies Y + Z_2 \sim Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

 $\implies$  W<sub>1</sub> distance between smoothed distributions

**GAN-Compatibility:** GOT is  $W_1$  but between convolved distributions

#### Definition (ZG-Greenewald'19)

For  $\sigma \geq 0$ , the Gaussian-smoothed OT (GOT) between P and Q is

$$\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \triangleq \mathsf{W}_{1}(P \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \triangleq \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional isotropic Gaussian.

Interpretation:  $X \sim P$ ,  $Y \sim Q$  and  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$   $X \perp Z_1 \implies X + Z_1 \sim P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$  $Y \perp Z_2 \implies Y + Z_2 \sim Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ 

 $\implies$  W<sub>1</sub> distance between smoothed distributions

**<u>GAN-Compatibility</u>:** GOT is W<sub>1</sub> but between convolved distributions • KR Duality:  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P, Q) = \sup_{\|f\|_{Lip} \le 1} \mathbb{E}f(X + Z) - \mathbb{E}f(Y + Z)$ 

High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}\right)$$
 is metric space,  $\forall \sigma \geq 0$  (and  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}$  metrizes weak\* conv.).

### High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}\right)$$
 is metric space,  $\forall \sigma \geq 0$  (and  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}$  metrizes weak\* conv.).

**Key Idea for Proof:** Use Characteristic functions  $\phi_P(t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_P[e^{itX}]$  and:

### High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

 $\left(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}\right)$  is metric space,  $\forall \sigma \geq 0$  (and  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}$  metrizes weak\* conv.).

Key Idea for Proof: Use Characteristic functions  $\phi_P(t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_P[e^{itX}]$  and:  $\phi_{P*\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = \phi_P(t)\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t)$  together with  $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 ||t||^2}{2}} \neq 0, \forall t.$ 

### High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}),\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}\right)$$
 is metric space,  $\forall \sigma \geq 0$  (and  $\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}$  metrizes weak\* conv.).

Key Idea for Proof: Use Characteristic functions  $\phi_P(t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_P[e^{itX}]$  and:  $\phi_{P*\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = \phi_P(t)\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t)$  together with  $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 ||t||^2}{2}} \neq 0, \forall t.$ 

#### Corollary (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Let 
$$P_n, P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
,  $n \ge 1$ . Then:  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P_n, P) \to 0$  iff  $\mathsf{W}_1(P_n, P) \to 0$ 

### High Level: GOT inherits the metric structure of 1-Wasserstein

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}),\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}\right)$$
 is metric space,  $\forall \sigma \geq 0$  (and  $\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}$  metrizes weak\* conv.).

Key Idea for Proof: Use Characteristic functions  $\phi_P(t) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_P[e^{itX}]$  and:  $\phi_{P*\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = \phi_P(t)\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t)$  together with  $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}(t) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 ||t||^2}{2}} \neq 0, \forall t.$ 

#### Corollary (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Let 
$$P_n, P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
,  $n \ge 1$ . Then:  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P_n, P) \to 0$  iff  $\mathsf{W}_1(P_n, P) \to 0$ 

❀ GOT induces exact same topology as classic Wasserstein

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{O} \hspace{0.1 in} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \text{ is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{O} \hspace{0.1 in} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \text{ is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{O} \hspace{0.1 in} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \text{ is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

$$Im_{\sigma \to \infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0, \text{ for some } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{0} \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

$$Im_{\sigma \to \infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0, \text{ for some } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

**Key Idea for Proof:** Use dual form to relate  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$  and  $W_1$  as:

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{0} \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

$$Im_{\sigma \to \infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0, \text{ for some } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

**Key Idea for Proof:** Use dual form to relate  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$  and  $W_1$  as:

#### Lemma (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $0 \le \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < +\infty$ . We have

 $\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma_{2})}(P,Q) \leq \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma_{1})}(P,Q) \leq \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma_{2})}(P,Q) + 2d\sqrt{\sigma_{2}^{2} - \sigma_{1}^{2}}.$ 

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{0} \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

$$Im_{\sigma \to \infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0, \text{ for some } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Third Item: Intuitively should decay to 0?

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

 $\textcircled{0} \hspace{0.1 cm} \mathrm{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{is continuous and mono. non-increasing in } \sigma \in [0,+\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

$$Im_{\sigma \to \infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0, \text{ for some } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

•  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is continuous and mono. non-increasing in  $\sigma \in [0, +\infty)$ 

$$lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(P,Q)$$

③ 
$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0$$
, for some  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathsf{W}_1(\mathcal{N}(x,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d), \mathcal{N}(y,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d))$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

•  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is continuous and mono. non-increasing in  $\sigma \in [0, +\infty)$ 

$$Iim_{\sigma \to 0} \operatorname{W}_{1}^{(\circ)}(P,Q) = \operatorname{W}_{1}(P,Q)$$

③ 
$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0$$
, for some  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = W_1(\mathcal{N}(x,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d), \mathcal{N}(y,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d))$$
$$\geq \left\| \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(x,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)} X - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(y,\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)} Y \right\|$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following hold:

•  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is continuous and mono. non-increasing in  $\sigma \in [0, +\infty)$ 

$$\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \mathsf{VV}_1^* (P,Q) = \mathsf{VV}_1(P,Q)$$

③ 
$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \neq 0$$
, for some  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\mathcal{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) = \mathcal{W}_{1}(\mathcal{N}(x,\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{d}),\mathcal{N}(y,\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{d}))$$
  
$$\geq \left\|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(x,\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{d})}X - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(y,\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{d})}Y\right\|$$
  
$$= \|x - y\|$$

**High Level:**  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$  is well-behaved func. of  $\sigma$  (fixed  $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let:

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let:

•  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $\mathsf{W}_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that:

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Fix } P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \mbox{ and } \sigma_k \searrow \sigma \geq 0. \mbox{ Let:} \\ \bullet \ \{\pi_k\}, \mbox{ s.t. } \pi_k \in \Pi(P \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}) \mbox{ is optimal for } \mathbb{W}_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu,\nu) \\ \mbox{ Then } \pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi \ (\mbox{weak*ly}) \mbox{ such that:} \\ \bullet \ \pi \in \Pi(P \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q \ast \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}) \end{array}$ 

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that: •  $\pi \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma})$ •  $\pi$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that: •  $\pi \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma})$ •  $\pi$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

#### Comments:

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that: •  $\pi \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma})$ •  $\pi$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

#### Comments:

• In words: Not only opt. values converge, but also optimizers

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that: •  $\pi \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma})$ •  $\pi$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

#### Comments:

- In words: Not only opt. values converge, but also optimizers
- $\sigma = 0$ : Gaussian-smoothed opt. plans converge to W<sub>1</sub> opt. coupling.

## **High Level:** $W_1^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)$ is well-behaved func. of $\sigma$ (fixed $P,Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald'19)

Fix  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\sigma_k \searrow \sigma \ge 0$ . Let: •  $\{\pi_k\}$ , s.t.  $\pi_k \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k})$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma_k)}(\mu, \nu)$ Then  $\pi_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} \pi$  (weak\*ly) such that: •  $\pi \in \Pi(P * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}, Q * \mathcal{N}_{\sigma})$ •  $\pi$  is optimal for  $W_1^{(\sigma)}(\mu, \nu)$ 

### Comments:

- In words: Not only opt. values converge, but also optimizers
- $\sigma = 0$ : Gaussian-smoothed opt. plans converge to W<sub>1</sub> opt. coupling.
- **Proof Idea:**  $\Gamma$ -convergence (CoV) & Tightness of  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  (Topology)

**<u>High Level</u>**: Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Comments:

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Comments:

• True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact supp(P))

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

#### Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Comments:

- True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact  ${\rm supp}(P))$
- Generalizes to subgauassian noise distributions with monotone density
**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

## Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Comments:

- True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact  $\mathsf{supp}(P)$ )
- Generalizes to subgauassian noise distributions with monotone density
- Implies fast convergence of the other empirical approx. setups:

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

## Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:

$$\operatorname{EW}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

### Comments:

- True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact  $\mathsf{supp}(P)$ )
- Generalizes to subgauassian noise distributions with monotone density
- Implies fast convergence of the other empirical approx. setups:
  - One-Sample:  $\mathbb{E} \left| \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},Q) \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \right| \in O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$  (GANs)

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

## Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:  $\mathbb{E}V$ 

$$\operatorname{EW}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

### Comments:

- True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact  $\mathsf{supp}(P)$ )
- Generalizes to subgauassian noise distributions with monotone density
- Implies fast convergence of the other empirical approx. setups:
  - One-Sample:  $\mathbb{E}\left|\mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},Q) \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q)\right| \in O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$  (GANs)
  - ► Two-Sample:  $\mathbb{E} \left| \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},\hat{Q}_{n}) \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \right| \in O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$

**High Level:** Fast convergence of empirical approx. under  $W_1^{(\sigma)}$ 

## Theorem (ZG-Greenewald-Polyanskiy-Weed'19)

For any  $d \ge 1$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , we have:  $\mathbb{E}$ 

$$\operatorname{EW}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},P) \lesssim \left(\frac{c}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

### Comments:

- True for any subgauassian P (entropic OT assumes compact  $\mathsf{supp}(P)$ )
- Generalizes to subgauassian noise distributions with monotone density
- Implies fast convergence of the other empirical approx. setups:

• One-Sample: 
$$\mathbb{E} \left| \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},Q) - \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \right| \in O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$
 (GANs)

► Two-Sample:  $\mathbb{E} \left| \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_{n},\hat{Q}_{n}) - \mathsf{W}_{1}^{(\sigma)}(P,Q) \right| \in O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ 

#### 8 GOT alleviated curse of dimensionality in GAN framework

GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

**Next-Generation GANs:** 

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

Next-Generation GANs:

• Attain competitive performance

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

#### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources
- Backed up by (useful) sample complexity guarantees

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

#### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources
- Backed up by (useful) sample complexity guarantees

**Ongoing Work:** 

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

#### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources
- Backed up by (useful) sample complexity guarantees

### **Ongoing Work:**

• Empirical: GOT-GAN design & test

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

#### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources
- Backed up by (useful) sample complexity guarantees

#### **Ongoing Work:**

- **Empirical:** GOT-GAN design & test
- Algorithmic: Enhanced alg. tailored for GOT structure

## GOT preserves fav. properties & alleviates main deficiency

#### Next-Generation GANs:

- Attain competitive performance
- Consume fewer resources
- Backed up by (useful) sample complexity guarantees

### **Ongoing Work:**

- Empirical: GOT-GAN design & test
- Algorithmic: Enhanced alg. tailored for GOT structure
- Theoretical:  $\sqrt{n}W_1^{(\sigma)}(\hat{P}_n, P)$  limiting dist., hypothesis testing, etc.



- Generative Adversarial Networks: SOTA generative models
  - Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$
  - Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-rac{1}{d}}$
  - ► Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible
- Gaussian-Smoothed OT: Convolve distributions w/ Gaussians

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-rac{1}{d}}$
  - Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible
- Gaussian-Smoothed OT: Convolve distributions w/ Gaussians
  - ▶ Inherits metric structure from Wasserstein & GAN compatible

## • Generative Adversarial Networks: SOTA generative models

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-rac{1}{d}}$
  - Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible

## • Gaussian-Smoothed OT: Convolve distributions w/ Gaussians

- ► Inherits metric structure from Wasserstein & GAN compatible
- ▶ Well-behaved function of noise parameter & recovers Wasserstein in limit

## • Generative Adversarial Networks: SOTA generative models

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-rac{1}{d}}$
  - Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible

## • Gaussian-Smoothed OT: Convolve distributions w/ Gaussians

- ► Inherits metric structure from Wasserstein & GAN compatible
- Well-behaved function of noise parameter & recovers Wasserstein in limit
- ▶ Fast  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  convergence of empirical approximation in all dimensions

## • Generative Adversarial Networks: SOTA generative models

- Two perspectives: 'minimax game' and 'min statistical distance'
- Under 1-Wasserstein metric both coincide
- Wasserstein GANs produce outstanding empirical results
- Curse of Dimensionality: Approximate distributions from samples
  - Empirical approximation under Wasserstein metric is slow  $n^{-rac{1}{d}}$
  - Entropic OT: partial solution but not a metric nor GAN compatible

## • Gaussian-Smoothed OT: Convolve distributions w/ Gaussians

- ► Inherits metric structure from Wasserstein & GAN compatible
- Well-behaved function of noise parameter & recovers Wasserstein in limit
- ▶ Fast  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  convergence of empirical approximation in all dimensions

# Thank you!