ECE 6980 An Algorithmic and Information-Theoretic Toolbox for Massive Data

Instructor: Jayadev Acharya Scribe: Huanyu Zhang Lecture #2 28th August, 2017

1 Recap

 $|\mathcal{X}| = k, \varepsilon$ is an accuracy parameter, and δ is an error parameter.

2 Learning discrete distributions

TV-Estimation Problem: Given $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ independent samples drawn from an unknown distribution p over [k], we need to output \hat{p} s.t. with probability at least $1 - \delta$, $d_{TV}(p, \hat{p}) < \varepsilon$. Here we assume $\delta = 0.1$ (for now).

Suppose we observe $X_1^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ from a distribution p over \mathcal{X} . Let

 $N_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \# \text{times symbol } x \text{ appears in } X_1^n \}.$

We define the empirical estimator $\hat{p}_n(x) = \frac{N_x}{n}$.

Theorem 1. The empirical estimator satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_1^n}\left[\ell_1(p,\hat{p_n})\right] \le \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}$$

Lemma 2 (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). *let* $a_1, ..., a_m, b_1, ..., b_m \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \cdot b_i)^2 \le (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2) \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^2)$$

The two sides are equal if and only if for all i, $a_i/b_i = c$. Proof. Using CSI with $a_x = |p(x) - \hat{p_n}(x)|$, $b_x = 1$,

 $\left(\ell_1(n, \hat{p}_n) \right)^2 < \left(\sum_{x \in [n]} (n(x) - \hat{p}_n(x)) \right)^2$

$$\left(\ell_1(p, \hat{p_n})\right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (p(x) - \hat{p_n}(x))^2\right) \cdot k$$

If we take expectation for both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\ell_1(p,\hat{p_n})^2\Big] \le k \cdot \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\frac{N_x}{n} - p(x))^2\Big]$$
(1)

$$= \frac{k}{n^2} \cdot \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (N_x - np(x))^2\Big]$$
(2)

$$= \frac{k}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} np(x)(1 - p(x)) \tag{3}$$

$$\leq \frac{k}{n} \tag{4}$$

The last two lines come from the fact that $N_x \sim Bin(n, p(x))$. So we have $\mathbb{E}[N_x] = np(x)$ and $Var(N_x) = np(x)(1-p(x))$.

Because $f(x) = x^2$ is a convex function, according to Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\ell_1(p,\hat{p_n})\Big]^2 \le \mathbb{E}\Big[\ell_1(p,\hat{p_n})^2\Big] \le \frac{k}{n}$$

Lemma 3 (Markov's Inequality). If X is a nonnegative random variable and a > 0, then

$$\operatorname{Prob}(X \ge a) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$$

Using Markov's Inequality,

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(\ell_1(p, \hat{p_n}) > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}$$

Let $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \leq 0.1$, we can get $n \geq 100 \cdot \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2}$. So if we use an empirical estimator, we get an upper bound of $O(\frac{k}{\varepsilon^2})$.

3 Poisson Sampling

Poisson Sampling is a sampling method that produces independent N_x 's without too much loss.

3.1 **Properties of Poisson Distribution**

If $X \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda_1), Y \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda_2)$

- 1 PMF: $P(X = i) = e^{-\lambda_1} \cdot \frac{\lambda_1^i}{i!}$
- 2 Mean and Variance: $\mathbb{E}[X] = \operatorname{Var}(X) = \lambda_1$,
- 3 When $n \cdot p$ is fixed and $p \to 0$, $\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$ goes to $\operatorname{Poi}(n \cdot p)$. To be specific, when $n \cdot p = \lambda$, $\lim_{p \to 0} \binom{n}{i} \cdot p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} = e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!}$
- $4 X + Y \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

3.2 Procedure for Poisson sampling

Fixed length sampling: We have a fixed sample size n and we draw $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ iid samples from distribution $p, N_x \sim Bin(n, p(x))$

Poisson length sampling:

- 1 $n' \sim \operatorname{Poi}(n)$
- 2 Generate n' independent samples from p.

3.2.1 Properties of Poisson Sampling

1
$$N'_x \sim \operatorname{Poi}(n \cdot p(x)).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(N'_x = j) &= \sum_{n'} \Pr\left(N'_x = j, n'\right) \\ &= \sum_{n' \ge j} e^{-n} \frac{n^{n'}}{n'!} \binom{n'}{j} (p(x))^j (1 - p(x))^{n'-j} \\ &= e^{-n} \frac{(np(x))^j}{j!} \sum_{n' \ge j} \frac{n^{n'-j} (1 - p(x))^{n'-j}}{(n'-j)!} \\ &= e^{-n} \frac{(np(x))^j}{j!} \sum_{n' \ge j} \frac{(n(1 - p(x)))^{n'-j}}{(n'-j)!} \\ &= e^{-n} \frac{(np(x))^j}{j!} \cdot e^{n(1 - p(x))} \\ &= e^{-np(x)} \frac{(np(x))^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

 $2\,$ Condition on n', the distribution becomes fixed length with respect to parameter n'.

3
$$P(N'_x = n_x, N'_y = n_y) = P(N'_x = n_x) \cdot P(N'_y = n_y)$$

4 Testing Problem

Given description of a probability distribution q over [k], parameter ε and n independent samples from an unknown distribution p, we want to know whether p = q or $d_{TV}(p,q) > \varepsilon$. The following picture illustrates the case when q = u[k]. We need to distinguish between p is the origin or p lies outside the square.



Now we consider a special case when q is uniform. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and n independent samples from p, we want to figure out, with probability at least 0.9, whether p = q or $d_{TV}(p,q) > \varepsilon$.

Theorem 4. Testing uniformity requires $\Omega(\sqrt{k})$ samples for any fixed ε .

Before we look at the argument for this theorem, let us see the following lemma first.

Lemma 5 (Birthday Paradox). At least $\Omega(\sqrt{k})$ samples from u[k] are needed before you can find a repeated symbol with some constant probability.

You can prove this lemma by showing $\mathbb{E}[\#symbols appear more than 1 time] < \frac{n^2}{k}$. Don't forget under Poisson Sampling, for every $x, N_x \sim \text{Poi}(n/k)$.

You can also try to prove the following result: At least $\Omega(k^{1-1/\alpha})$ samples from u[k] are needed before you can find a symbol appear α times with some constant probability.

Now let us go back to the theorem. Recall that P = u[k] is the uniform distribution on [k]. Let u[k/2] be the collection of all distributions that are uniform over a subset of k/2 elements of k. There are $\binom{k}{k/2}$ distributions. Then note that: For any $q \in u[k/2]$, $d_{TV}(q, u[k]) = 0.5$. Let Q be the distribution uniformly drawn from u[k/2]. Then if we sample from P = u[k] by $\sqrt{k}/10$ number of samples, all symbols are distinct. The same is true for Q. Hence we can't distinguish between P and Q with a constant probability.

4.1 Goldreich-Ron Algorithm

The algorithm is as follows: Let $T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i < j} I\{x_i = x_j\}$. If $T \ge {\binom{n}{2}}(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2k})$, we output $d_{TV}(p,q) > \varepsilon$ else we output p = q.

Theorem 6. The coincidence based test solves uniformity testing problem with $O(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\epsilon^4})$

Proof. When p is a uniform distribution, the expectation of statistics T is:

$$\mathbb{E}[T|p=u] = \binom{n}{2} \cdot \sum_{x} p^2(x) \tag{5}$$

$$= \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \tag{6}$$

When $d_{TV}(p,q) > \varepsilon$, by using Jenson's inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{x} \left(p(x) - \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 \cdot k \ge \left(\sum_{x} |p(x) - \frac{1}{k}|^2 \right) \ge \varepsilon^2$$

=

Besides,

$$\sum_{x} \left(p(x) - \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 = \sum_{x} p^2(x) - 2 \sum_{x} \frac{p(x)}{k} + \frac{1}{k^2}$$
(7)

$$=\sum_{x}p^{2}(x)-\frac{1}{k}$$
(8)

Then we have

$$\sum_{x} p^2(x) \ge \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{k}$$

So the expectation of the statistics is:

$$\mathbb{E}[T|\ell_1(p,u)] = \binom{n}{2} \cdot \sum_x p^2(x) \tag{9}$$

$$\geq \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{k} \tag{10}$$

The following proof about bounding variance and using Chebychev's inequality will be covered in the next lecture. In the next lecture we will look at a statistic that gives an upper bound of $O(\sqrt{k}/\varepsilon^2)$ samples.

5 Reference

- Mitzenmacher, Michael, and Eli Upfal. Probability and Computing: Randomization and Probabilistic Techniques in Algorithms and Data Analysis.
- Paninski' 08 : http://www.stat.columbia.edu/ liam/research/pubs/sparse-unif-test.pdf